	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/813,915	SWIFT ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	

All Participants:

(1) *Steven S. Paik.*

(2) *Mr. John R. King (Reg. No. 34,362).*

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *13 March 2007*   **Time:** *7:15pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims discussed:
24, 25

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative has agreed and authorized for the Examiner's Amendment to correct claim rejections under 35 USC § 112 regarding claims 24 and 25.

Re claims 24 and 25, please delete the word, "biometric" and insert - -customer identification - - in line 1, respectively.